

20200904 Edits to August 26 ALL Tracked Changes Version

Page

Comment/Question/Fix

New Text/Discussion

21	<p>Under "ORGANIZATION OF THE MANAGEMENT PLAN": where it says "Part IV focuses on the role of the Gorge Commission, the Forest Service, and Indian <del>tribal</del> treaty rights and consultation.": Confirm that the resulting phrase "Indian treaty rights" is consistent with how it is used throughout the Plan.</p>	<p>No text change needed. All instances of this term were changed for the August 26, 2020 draft. No text change needed.</p>
40	<p>Change Key Viewing Areas Policy 9 to be internally consistent with Review Uses GMA Guidelines 6 and 7 on pages 376-377. Guideline 6 requires expansion of existing quarries to meet the visual subordination standard when visible in the background from key viewing areas. Guideline 7 requires expansion of existing quarries be fully screened when guideline 6 does not apply (i.e., when visible from the foreground or middle-ground from key viewing areas). In contrast, policy 9 required all expansions of existing quarries to meet the visual subordination standard. Also, update the cross-reference in Policy 9 from "4.D" to "5."</p>	<p>New text is "<del>Expansion of existing quarries on sites visible from key viewing areas shall be permitted if visually subordinate to its setting as seen visible from key viewing areas.</del> <u>Expansion of existing quarries on sites visible in the foreground or middle-ground from key viewing areas may be permitted if fully screened from view from those key viewing areas. Expansion of existing quarries on sites visible in the background from key viewing areas may be permitted if visually subordinate to its setting as seen visible from those key viewing areas.</u> Existing quarries are those determined not to be discontinued, pursuant to Guideline <del>4.D-5</del> in "Existing Uses and Discontinued Uses" (Part II, Chapter 7: General Policies and Guidelines). Expansion refers to lateral expansion (expansion of mining activities into land surfaces previously unaffected by mining)."</p>
79	<p>Delete "cottage industries" from SMA Sign Guideline 7.</p>	<p>Fixed. This was left in unintentionally. It should be removed to make this guideline consistent with the removal of "cottage industries" throughout the Plan. The new text is "<u>7. Signs for public and commercial recreation facilities, home occupations, <del>cottage industries,</del> and commercial uses shall meet the following guidelines in addition to Guidelines 1 through 4 and 7 of this section:...</u></p>

110	Comment: NR Introduction statement under "KEY ISSUES" overall appears to be anti-development and advocacy	No text change recommended. The draft language is a mix of NR Technical Team input and internal staff input. Staff took general input from the NR Team meetings about what seemed missing or outdated in the introduction text. The NR Team encouraged the introduction text to mention habitats in addition to species-specific policy approaches, mention climate change and habitat connectivity/fragmentation, and be more clear about the roles of other agencies and plans.
113	Question: "GMA Objectives: Water Resources" #2: why does the draft cross out "shall notify landowners?"	No text change recommended. This edit was made to make the wording consistent with the action words commonly used in Objectives, such as "Promote" and "Provide." The revised text requires providing additional information to a broader set of landowners (all whose property contains or is near water resources, not just those in the Agriculture and Forest designations).
136	Question: Under "Approval Criteria for Fences in Deer and Elk Winter Range" Guideline 1: Why change shall to may? 1. New fences in deer and elk winter range <del>shall</del> <u>may</u> be allowed only when necessary to control livestock or exclude wildlife from specified areas, such as gardens or sensitive wildlife sites. The areas fenced shall be the minimum necessary to meet the immediate needs of the project applicant.	No text change recommended. The draft plan shows several similar instances where "shall" was changed to "may." This change eliminates the implication that approval of the proposed use is mandatory when other guidelines in the Plan specify that the use can only be approved if it complies with other approval criteria. For example, on page 332, guideline 1.D lists fences as an expedited review use. Guideline 1 specifies the uses are allowed "provided they comply with the resource protection and procedural guidelines below." This change also reflects the current practice by the Commission staff and counties implementing their land use ordinances.

136	Question: Under "Approval Criteria for Fences in Deer and Elk Winter Range" Guideline 2: Why were explanations crossed out?	Fixed. The Commission approved adding back explanatory language at a previous meeting. The new text is: "2. New and replacement fences that are allowed in winter range shall comply with the guidelines in the Forest Service document, <i>Specifications for Structural Range Improvements</i> (Sanderson et al. 1990), as summarized below, <del>as and</del> may be revised from time to time, unless the project applicant demonstrates the need for an alternative design. To allow deer and other wildlife safe passage: A. To make it easier for deer to jump over the fence, <del>t</del> The top wire shall not be more than 42 inches high. B. The distance between the top two wires is critical for adult deer because their hind legs often become entangled between these wires. A gap of at least 10 inches shall be maintained between the top two wires to make it easier for deer to free themselves if they become entangled. C. The bottom wire shall be at least 16 inches above the ground to allow fawns to crawl under the fence. It shall consist of smooth wire because barbs often injure animals as they crawl under fences. D. Stays, or braces placed between strands of wire, shall be positioned between fence posts where deer are most likely to cross. Stays create a more rigid fence, which allows deer a better chance to wiggle free if their hind legs become caught between the top two wires.
151	Change "and" to "or" in SMA Water Resources Guideline H(1).	Fixed. The new text is "(1) Those portions of a proposed use that have a practicable alternative will not be located in wetlands, stream, pond, lake, and riparian areas <del>and/or</del> their buffer zone."
151	Change "and" to "or" in SMA Wildlife and Plants Guideline A.	Fixed. The new text is "Protection of <del>sensitive</del> wildlife/plant areas and sites shall begin when proposed new developments or uses are within 1000 feet of a <u>rare</u> wildlife/ <del>plant site and/or area</del> <u>rare plant area or site.</u> "
151	Change "and" to "or" in SMA Wildlife and Plants Guideline B	Fixed, the new text is "The local government shall submit site plans (of uses that are proposed within 1,000 feet of a <del>sensitive rare</del> wildlife <del>and/or rare</del> plant area or site)"
152	Change "and" to "or" in SMA Wildlife and Plants Guideline C(1)	Fixed. The new text is "(1) Identify/verify the precise location of the wildlife <del>and/or</del> plant area or site,"
152	Change "and" to "or" in SMA Wildlife and Plants Guideline C(4)	Fixed. The new text is "(4) Delineate the undisturbed 200-ft buffer on the site plan for <del>sensitive rare</del> plants <del>and/or</del> the appropriate buffer for <del>sensitive rare</del> wildlife areas or sites, including nesting, roosting, and perching sites."
154	Change "and" to "or" in SMA Wildlife and Plants Guideline E	Fixed. The new text is "E. The wildlife/plant protection process may terminate if the local government, in consultation with the Forest Service and state wildlife agency or <del>h</del> Heritage program, determines (1) the <del>sensitive rare</del> wildlife area or site is not active, or (2) the proposed use is not within the buffer zones and would not compromise the integrity of the wildlife/plant area or site, <del>or</del> (3) the proposed use is within the buffer and could be easily moved out of the buffer by simply modifying the project proposal (site plan modifications)."

155	Change "and" to "or" in GMA/SMA Practicable Alternative Test Guideline 2.A and 2.B.	Fixed. (Edits noted in blue.) The new text is "2. A practicable alternative does not exist if a project applicant satisfactorily demonstrates all of the following: A. The basic purpose of the use cannot be reasonably accomplished using one or more other sites in the vicinity that would avoid or result in less adverse effects on wetlands, ponds, lakes, riparian areas, <del>and</del> or wildlife <del>or</del> and plant areas <del>and</del> /or sites. B. The basic purpose of the use cannot be reasonably accomplished by reducing its proposed size, scope, configuration, or density, or by changing the design of the use in a way that would avoid or result in less adverse effects on wetlands, ponds, lakes, riparian areas, <del>and</del> or wildlife <del>and</del> or plant areas <del>and</del> /or sites."
155-156	Change "and" to "or" in SMA Mitigation Plans Guideline 1.A.	Fixed. (Edits noted in blue.) The new text is "1. Mitigation Plans shall be prepared when: A. The proposed development or use is within a buffer zone (wetlands, ponds, lakes, riparian areas, <del>and</del> or wildlife <del>or</del> and plant areas <del>and</del> /or sites)."
159	Remove "or destroyed" in "SMA Mitigation Plans" Policy 9.F.(9)	Fixed. This term was removed elsewhere in the draft plan. The new text is "...specifies the acreage of wetlands altered <del>or destroyed</del> ."
166	Delete "and" in the first sentence of the last paragraph before "OVERVIEW OF RECREATION RESOURCES PROVISIONS"	Fixed. This is a typo. The new text is: "...National Scenic Area residents while <del>and</del> meeting the Act's recreation mandates."
166	Question: What was the thinking behind deleting this description of key issues and solutions related to recreation on page 166: <del>"This problem is not unique to windsurfing; there are a number of sites in the western Gorge (such as Multnomah Falls) that receive too much use related to sightseeing, hiking, and related activities. Similar problems occur at key fishing access points during peak fishing periods. Because of recent substantial increases in recreational day use activities, certain portions of the Gorge are experiencing a severe shortage of overnight camping sites and a resulting proliferation of overnight camping in unauthorized areas. Solutions to these problems include dispersing use by providing similar opportunities in less used portions of the Gorge, providing better information about other existing facilities that are not overused, improving site management, and providing needed camping areas near popular day use sites."</del>	This was removed because it was redundant with the remainder of the chapter and represents a snapshot in time that doesn't reflect the dynamic nature and shifts in use that we experience. We wanted the plan to be more inclusive to meet the purposes of the Act and not focus on any one specific use or demand.

209, 237, 238, 276, 288, 311, 359	Glossary: Add a definition in the glossary for "tiny house on wheels." Like "minor," one person's "tiny" is another person's "huge."	The term, "on wheels," was intended to limit the dimensions of the structure. In order to pull a house on a trailer down a highway, it has to meet certain size and weight restrictions (65 ft long max for highway driving). Staff recommends this can be clarified by changing the term, "on wheels," to "on a trailer." This change applies to each instance where this term appears in reference to hardship dwellings in the Draft Management Plan, except for page 238 where it is in reference to temporary homes for timber operations in GMA Commercial Forest Land.
210	Replace "must be capable of producing" with "must produce" in Agricultural Land GMA guideline 1.H(3)(d)	This change reflects the motion approved by the Commission. The new text is "The farm or ranch, and all its constituent parcels, <del>must be capable of producing</del> produce at least \$40,00080,000 . . ."
226	Typo: Under "SMA Guidelines: Review Uses", Guideline J: Delete line between text under "J."	No change made here. This draft does not reflect the final formatting of the Plan.
233	Question: Clarify why GMA Land Use Policy 3 was deleted, and at whose request. This policy protects forest land from adjacent conflicting uses. It does not seem redundant, a clarification, or uncontroversial: <del>"3. Forest land shall be protected from uses on nearby lands that conflict with the production of forest products by limiting the number, size, proximity, and scale of conflicting uses on nearby lands."</del>	Staff moved land use policies 3 and 4 to become a new Objective 1 on page 231.
268	Change "and" to "or" in this sentence in "SMA Guidelines, Review Uses" Guideline 2.B:	Fixed. This was unintentional. USFS staff believes that "or" is correct. The new text is: "B. Resource enhancement projects for the purpose of enhancing scenic, cultural, recreation, <del>and</del> /or natural resources, subject to the guidelines in "Resource Enhancement Projects" (Part II, Chapter 7: General Policies and Guidelines). These projects may include vegetation management and forest practices..."
291	Change "and" to "or" in the first sentence of "Review Uses: Commercial Designations" Guideline J.	Fixed. This was unintentional. Staff believes "or" is correct." The new text is: "Resource enhancement projects for the purpose of enhancing scenic, cultural, recreation <del>and</del> /or natural resources, subject to the guidelines in "Resource Enhancement Projects"
294	Change "and" to "or" in GMA Policy 2.F.	Fixed. This was unintentional. Staff believes "or" is correct." The new text is: "F. Enhancement of scenic, natural, <del>and</del> /or cultural resources."
295	Change "and" to "or" in the first sentence of "PUBLIC RECREATION, GMA Guidelines, Review Uses" 2.G.	Fixed. This was unintentional. Staff believes "or" is correct." The new text is: "G. Resource enhancement projects for the purpose of enhancing scenic, cultural, recreation <del>and</del> /or natural resources, subject to the guidelines in "Resource Enhancement Projects" (Part II, Chapter 7: General Policies and Guidelines)."

300	Change "and" to "or" in the first sentence of "COMMERCIAL RECREATION, GMA Guidelines, Review Uses" 2.G.	Fixed. This was unintentional. Staff believes "or" is correct." The new text is: "G. Resource enhancement projects for the purpose of enhancing scenic, cultural, recreation <del>and/or</del> natural resources, subject to the guidelines in "Resource Enhancement Projects" (Part II, Chapter 7: General Policies and Guidelines)."
311	Change "and" to "or" in the first sentence of "PUBLIC RECREATION, SMA Guidelines, Review Uses, Guideline I"	Fixed. This was unintentional. USFS staff believes "or" is correct. The new text is: "I. Resource enhancement projects for the purpose of enhancing scenic, cultural, recreation, <del>and/or</del> natural resources, subject to the guidelines in "Resource Enhancement Projects" (Part II, Chapter 7: General Policies and Guidelines)."
314	Add "National" to reference to "Scenic Area Act" in last sentence of Savings Provision 9	Fixed: This was missed when adding back the text previously shown as deleted. The new text is "... pursuant to the <u>National</u> Scenic Area Act."
319	Change "and" to "or" in EXISTING USES AND DISCONTINUED USES GMA/SMA Guideline 4.B.	Fixed. This was unintentional. Staff believes "or" is correct. The new text is: "B. Existing Development or Production of Mineral Resources in the SMA <sub>s</sub> : Uses involving the exploration, development, <del>and/or</del> production of sand, gravel, or crushed rock in the SMA <sub>s</sub> may continue if both of the following conditions exist:..."
334	Under "Expedited Development Review Process" GMA/SMA Guideline 1. S.: Keep "mobile" where "mobile" was replaced with "manufactured."	Fixed. This was an unintentional replacement. The new text is "S. Replace an existing mobile home in a mobile home space within a mobile home park..."
342	Add period after "duration" in this sentence: "Extensions shall not exceed 30 days in duration <del>and not more than two (2) extensions shall be granted</del> "	Fixed. This is a typo. The new text is: "Extensions shall not exceed 30 days in duration. <del>and not more than two (2) extensions shall be granted</del> "
373	Change "and" to "or" in REVIEW USES Policy 2"	Fixed. This was unintentional. Staff believes "or" is correct for the GMA and Forest Service staff believes "or" is correct for the SMA. The new text is "2. Local governments shall notify the four <del>Indian tribal governments</del> <u>Columbia River treaty tribes</u> , the appropriate state, the Gorge Commission, and the Forest Service of all land use applications that involve land divisions; residential, commercial or industrial development; or the exploration, development, or production of mineral resources."
378	Change the term "visible" to "key viewing areas from which it is visible" in Review Uses Guideline 8 to reflect the language used in Guideline 6.	This makes the language in this guideline consistent with other references to the 4-miles standard in this section of the Plan. The new text is: ". . . from the nearest <del>visible</del> <u>key viewing area from which it is visible</u> . . ."
378	Change the term "visible" to "key viewing areas from which it is visible" in Review Uses Guideline 9 to reflect the language used in Guideline 6.	This makes the language in this guideline consistent with other references to the 4-miles standard in this section of the Plan. The new text is: ". . . from the nearest <del>visible</del> <u>key viewing area from which it is visible</u> . . ."

440	Change "relevant" to "relative" in Revision of Urban Area Boundaries Policy 10.D.	<p>Column A: Page 440</p> <p>Column B: "Change "relevant" to "relative" in Revision of Urban Area Boundaries Policy 10.D.</p> <p>Column E: "When addressing demonstrated need for long-term population growth, the Gorge Commission shall consider the relevant relative benefits . . ."</p> <p>Show "relevant" as strikeout and show "relative" as underlined.</p>
403	Delete second "in" GMA and Urban Areas Policy 4.	Fixed. This is a typo. The new text is: "4. The Gorge Commission may update the potential recreation inventories to include sites in <del>Urban Area</del> urban areas, in <del>The Gorge Commission shall evaluate proposed recreation facilities at sites in Urban Areas,</del> in consultation with port districts, city and county park agencies, Indian tribal governments, and other..."
414	Add "Residential" to list of land use designations where tasting rooms are allowed in Economic Development GMA Policy 6.E.	Fixed. This change makes the Economic Development chapter consistent with the currently provisions in the Residential land use designation that allow wine sales/testing rooms. The new text is "6. The following commercial uses may be allowed outside Urban Areas: . . . . E. <del>Wineries and farm produce stands</del> Wine or cider sales or tasting rooms, in conjunction with a lawful winery or cidery, on lands designated Large-Scale or Small-Scale Agriculture, Commercial Forest Land, <del>or</del> Large or Small Woodland, <u>or Residential.</u> "
439	Delete "or" from 8(A)(ii).	<p>UAB Policy 8(A): A revision to an <del>Urban Area</del>urban area boundary is minor if:</p> <p>i. the revision involves no net change in the total area of the <del>Urban Area</del>urban area, or</p> <p>ii. if the revision is cumulatively 20 acres or 1% of the total area of the <del>Urban Area</del>urban area, whichever is less,<del>or</del></p>
440	Change "relevant" to "relative" in Revision of Urban Area Boundaries Policy 10.D.	Fixed. The new text is: "When addressing demonstrated need for long-term population growth, the Gorge Commission shall consider the <del>relevant</del> <u>relative</u> benefits . . ."
452	Delete "be" in the last sentence of the first full paragraph.	Fixed. This is a typo. The new text is: "This <u>panoramic</u> photography <del>is</del> <u>will</u> be repeated..."
494	Typos in glossary definition for "Archeological resources": Change "human manufactures" to "human-manufactured," and "human made" to "human-made."	Fixed. This is a typo. The new text is: " <u>The artifacts and features left in the landscape of early American Indian activities and the historic activities of early settlers. Artifacts are human- manufactureds items and the waste material from manufacture. Features are the human alterations in the landscape. Artifacts include arrowheads and the stone waste flakes from making them and historic cans, bottles, ceramics and wooden and metal objects left in dumps or scattered in the landscape. Features include human-made pits...</u> "

497	Typo in second sentence of glossary definition for "Enhancement (natural and scenic resources)": Change "to area" to "to the area."	Fixed. This is a typo. The new text is: " <u>For riparian areas, such as wetlands, streams, and lakes, enhancement is generally limited to the area that is degraded.</u> "
514	Glossary definition for "Winery or Ciderly": change agricultural "facility" to "building" in first sentence of the definition. <b>Winery or cidery:</b> An agricultural <del>facility</del> building used for	Fixed. Wineries are reviewed as agricultural buildings, this change aligns the text with current practice. The new text is, "Winery or cidery: An agricultural <del>facility</del> <u>building</u> used for...."
518	Remove Index entry for "Cottage industries"	No change made here. This draft does not reflect the final index for the Plan, which will be done during final formatting.
520	Remove "cottage industries" in Index entry for "Home occupations and cottage industries"	No change made here. This draft does not reflect the final index for the Plan, which will be done during final formatting.
Throughout	Question: The word "seen" is replaced by "visible" throughout the document: Do they have different meanings? Is this to correspond to the new USFS scenery standards?	"Visible" is a term now used for consistency with the FS's scenery management system.
Throughout	Question. The draft allows only for cideries to be added to wineries and limits the size of tasting rooms. But my concern is about the scale of the processing facility not the tasting room. Is there some other constraint on the size or processing facilities in Ag zones?	Wineries (and as proposed, cideries) are currently reviewed as agricultural buildings. The Plan does not have any direct size limitations for agricultural buildings. Currently, size of agricultural buildings is limited by the existing requirement that new buildings must be compatible with existing nearby development.